

Temporal Dependent Contracts for Higher-Order Functions

Yuki Satake Hiroshi Unno

We present a novel contract system that can enforce both temporal and value-dependent properties of higher-order functions. To specify such contracts, we introduce a multi-modal logic over traces of events such as function calls and returns. We show that our logic subsumes Disney *et al.*'s declarative contract language and dependent refinement types, which have respectively been used to express temporal and value-dependent properties in prior contract systems. We implemented a prototype dynamic contract checker for the OCaml functional language based on the proposed method.

Summary

Contract systems for higher-order functional languages have been studied extensively [1–3, 6]. We present a novel contract system that can enforce both temporal and value-dependent properties of higher-order functions which were impossible by the prior systems.

Previous contract systems [3, 6] have used dependent refinement types [4, 5] to specify value-dependent properties. For example, the refinement type $(x : \{x : \text{int} \mid x \geq 0\}) \rightarrow \{y : \text{int} \mid y > x\}$ of the factorial function **fact** specifies that

- if an integer x is passed to **fact**, x must be non-negative, and
- if an integer y is returned by **fact**, y must be strictly greater than x .

By contrast, Disney *et al.* has proposed a declarative contract language for specifying temporal properties of higher-order programs [1]. For example, consider the following temporal contract ob-

tained (and slightly simplified) from [1]:

```
sort : int list ->
      (cmp : int -> int -> bool) ->
      int list
where not ... call(sort,_) !ret(sort,_) *
      call(sort,_)
```

The regular expression in the **where** clause specifies that the function **sort** can only be called after all the previous calls to **sort** have returned. Consequently, **sort** is never called from the function passed as **cmp** and, in a multi-threaded setting, **sort** is not re-entrant.

Our goal is to provide a unified contract language that subsumes both of the above two approaches. To this end, we introduce a multi-modal fixed point logic over traces of events, generated by an executing program, such as function calls (denoted by **call**(f, x) where f is the callee function and x is the actual argument) and returns (denoted by **ret**(f, y) where y is the return value). For example, the above value-dependent contract of **fact** represented as the refinement type is encoded as

高階関数のための時相的依存契約

This is an unrefereed paper. Copyrights belong to the Author(s).

佐竹 佑規 海野 広志, 筑波大学, University of Tsukuba.

the following two formulas in our logic:

$$\nu X. \Box_N X \wedge (\forall x. \mathbf{call}(\mathbf{fact}, x) \Rightarrow x \geq 0)$$

$$\nu X. \Box_N X \wedge (\forall x. \mathbf{call}(\mathbf{fact}, x) \Rightarrow \Box_R (\forall y. \mathbf{ret}(\mathbf{fact}, y) \Rightarrow y > x))$$

Here, the atomic formula $\mathbf{call}(\mathbf{fact}, x)$ (resp. $\mathbf{ret}(\mathbf{fact}, y)$) means that the currently focused event in the trace is of the form $\mathbf{call}(\mathbf{fact}, x)$ (resp. $\mathbf{ret}(\mathbf{fact}, y)$). The modal operator \Box_N is used to move the focus to the Next event in the trace. On the other hand, the operator \Box_R is used to move the focus to the Return event corresponding to the currently focused call event.

Furthermore, the proposed multi-modal logic is expressive enough to encode the temporal contract of `sort` as follows:

$$\nu X. \Box_N X \wedge \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{call}(\mathbf{sort}, -) \Rightarrow \\ \nu Y. \Box_N \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{ret}(\mathbf{sort}, -) \vee \\ \neg \mathbf{call}(\mathbf{sort}, -) \wedge Y \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

We also propose a method for dynamically checking temporal dependent contracts represented as formulas of the multi-modal logic. We implemented a prototype dynamic contract checker for the OCaml functional language based on the proposed method.

参考文献

- [1] Disney, T., Flanagan, C., and McCarthy, J.: Temporal higher-order contracts, *ICFP '11*, ACM, 2011, pp. 176–188.
- [2] Findler, R. B. and Felleisen, M.: Contracts for Higher-order Functions, *ICFP '02*, ACM, 2002, pp. 48–59.
- [3] Flanagan, C.: Hybrid type checking, *POPL '06*, ACM, 2006, pp. 245–256.
- [4] Freeman, T. and Pfenning, F.: Refinement types for ML, *PLDI '91*, ACM, 1991, pp. 268–277.
- [5] Xi, H. and Pfenning, F.: Dependent types in practical programming, *POPL '99*, ACM, 1999, pp. 214–227.
- [6] Xu, D. N., Peyton Jones, S. L., and Claessen, K.: Static contract checking for Haskell, *POPL '09*, ACM, 2009, pp. 41–52.